



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,546	03/29/2004	Seiichi Mizukoshi	86825RLO	3435
7590 Pamela R. Crocker Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street Rochester, NY 14650-2201				
12/12/2008				
EXAMINER				
SITTA, GRANT				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/12/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/812,546

Applicant(s)

MIZUKOSHI ET AL.

Examiner

GRANT D. SITTA

Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 May 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S508)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1, 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al (2003/0122759) hereafter, Abe in view of Sekiya et al (6,583,775) hereinafter Sekiya.

4. In regards to claim 1, Abe teaches a display device, comprising:

A matrix OLED display panel for receiving image data [0099], the panel having a plurality of pixels, each pixel having an OLED element ([0099])

means for supplying setting values (Examiner is interpreting "setting values" to mean "value supplied into integration part 200) for contrast and brightness (fig. 1A-C (305) [0070]) that are separate from the image data to the OLED display panel (fig. 26 line exiting into (200)) Examiner notes that the "means for supplying setting values" are separate from the image data.

the matrix OLED [0099] display panel including display setting circuitry (fig. 1A (304-306) including a multiplier (fig. 26 (22)) and an adder (fig. 26 (12)) for setting a relationship between image data and current values for current flowing in all OLED elements [0099] in response to the supplied contrast and brightness setting values ([0070] "a detection circuit for detecting luminance information of input image data, and 306A is a control circuit for carrying out a drive control in accordance with the detected luminance information") and the supplied image data ([0070] "a detection circuit for detecting luminance information of input image data, and 306A is a control circuit for carrying out a drive control in accordance with the detected luminance information");

estimation circuitry for estimating total panel (fig. 26 200 Since 200 is using Ra, Ga, and Ba it is using the total panel current) current flowing in all of the plurality of pixels when carrying out display for the display panel based on the image data ( (fig. 26 (200 and 201) "In the above-described FIG. 26, 200 designates an integration part (integration unit) for integrating 1 frame portion of the image data as the luminance desired value, and 201 designates a multiplier. This integration part 200 and the multiplier 201 are the high voltage power supply current value calculation circuit as a unit for calculating a current value ( $I_a$ ) of the high voltage power supply from the image data" (463)); and

current control circuitry (fig. 26 (ABL Circuitry)) for controlling actual panel current (fig. 26  $I_a$  and  $I_{max}$ ) by correcting the supplied contrast or brightness (abstract "luminance") setting values ("input image data" in [0070]) based on the panel current estimated by the estimation circuitry (fig. 26 (200 and 201)), so that the actual panel

current does not exceed a selected maximum value (fig. 26 (202) [0474] "designates a register which stores the limit value (lamax) of the high voltage current").

Abe differs from the claimed invention in that Abe does not disclose an active-matrix OLED display panel for receiving image data, the panel having a plurality of pixels, each pixel having an OLED element;

However, Sekiya teaches a system and method for an active-matrix OLED display panel for receiving image data, the panel having a plurality of pixels, each pixel having an OLED element; (fig. 10, fig. 11 and col. 1-2, lines 40-15 of Sekiya).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify the matrix OLED of Abe to become an active-matrix OLED display panel for receiving image data, the panel having a plurality of pixels, each pixel having an OLED element as taught by Sekiya in order to allow for more control of each pixel and to provide for brighter sharper displays over passive matrix displays.

5. In regards to claim 2, Abe teaches the display device of claim 1, wherein, when the total panel current estimated by the estimation means does not exceed a specified set value, correction of contrast or brightness by the current control circuitry is not effected ([0470] if the gain is equal to 1, i.e.  $G1$  is 1, correction of contrast or brightness by the current control circuitry will not be effected).

6. In regards to claim 8, Abe teaches the display device of any one of claim 1, wherein the estimation means estimates total current based on the sum or average of

image data for a single image frame or a plurality of image frames ([0468] average current within time assuming that 1 frame is set as the unit time”).

***Allowable Subject Matter***

7. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The cited references has failed to teach applicant's claimed invention.

5.(Currently Amended) The display device of claim 1,

wherein the current control circuitry controls contrast based on the following equation:

$$C' = C - (C + B / (k \cdot Lw0) - a) * (Ical - Icalx) / (Imax - Icalx),$$

where C is contrast setting value, B is brightness setting value, Lw0 is maximum luminance at initial setting time (C=I, B=0), a is luminance at the time panel current becomes IMax, when displaying a totally white surface, divided by Lw0, Ical is panel current when subjecting original image data values to linear conversion, Imax is maximum current flowing in the panel, Icalx is the Ical value (can be arbitrarily set) for the point at which maximum luminance begins to lower, and k is gamma correction input data divided by luminance.

6.(Currently Amended) The display device according to claim 1, wherein the estimation circuitry estimates panel current based on the following equation:

$$I = R_{\text{frame}}/E_r + G_{\text{frame}}/E_g + B_{\text{frame}}/E_b,$$

where, R<sub>frame</sub> is the sum total of R pixel data for one frame, G<sub>frame</sub> is the sum total of G pixel data for one frame, B<sub>frame</sub> is the sum total of B pixel data for one frame, E<sub>r</sub> is R luminance divided by current flowing in one R pixel, E<sub>g</sub> is G luminance divided by current flowing in one G pixel, and E<sub>b</sub> is B luminance divided by current flowing in one B pixel, wherein R, G, and B respectively means to Red, Green and Blue.

### ***Response to Arguments***

9. Applicant's arguments filed 10/07/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

10. In response to Applicant's remarks that nothing in Abe discloses or suggest any motivation for providing separate contrast and brightness settings values as in claim 1 (Remarks, page 4). Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner notes that the "means for supplying setting values" are separate from the image data (i.e. line extending into (200) of fig. 6 is separate from the line caring the image data from 17 to 9), which meets the newly amended claim language.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GRANT D. SITTA whose telephone number is (571)270-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sumati Lefkowitz/  
Supervisor Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Grant D Sitta/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629  
December 2, 2008